CLCS Review Item Disposition

1. Initiator	LAST NAME FIRST	2. Type of Review		3. RID Number
Name	Mulligan, Melanie	☐ General Document Review		00200-250
Organization	PZ-A3	☒ PDR, CDR, **ABR**, PPR (circle)		
Phone	861-4735	☐ Other _____		
Fax	861-7648			

5a. Doc. Number	84K00200	6. Doc. Name	System Level Specification (SLS)
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1		

6. Name of RID Team	SLS RID Review Team

7. Problem

Add a requirement that spooling output shall not adversely affect (e.g. slow down, freeze displays, etc.) functions of user software or hardware in any manner.

8. Recommendation

See Above

☐ Hardcopy of Redlines/Comments Attached

9. Impact if recommendation not implemented

Spooling output may cripple the system.

_____ _____
Initiator - Signature   Submission Date

10. Team Recommendation

☐ Accepted ☐ Accepted with Modification
☒ Rejected ☐ Study
☐ Withdrawn
☐ Deferred to CLCS CCB Screening Panel
Comments

See attached.

RID Team Manager - Signature _____

11. Action Required

☐ Update Document
☐ Study
  Other (specify) _____

Comments

12. Final RID Closure Action

☐ RID to be incorporated in next revision
☐ RID to be incorporated in other (specify)

RID Team Manager - Signature _____

13. Additional Comments/Notes

Due **NO LATER THAN** April 30, 1997

Response Attachment 200-250

The problem statement in this RID requests a change that is believed by the CLCS design team to be invalid for the following reasons:

1. It is probably beyond the state of the art to implement a spooler that doesn't "Slow down, freeze displays, etc. .... in any manner". That is, the requirement is too stringent.
2. The requirement to not "Slow down" is probably unreasonable in any case.
3. Attempting to meet the requirement would probably inflate cost and time required to implement each CSC that spools output. Furthermore, it would probably force exclusion of COTS SW that otherwise performs as desired.
4. The requirement is too generic and not verifiable.
5. Spooling performance for individual CSCs is more properly addressed in the design panel for the CSC involved.

The RID Management Team agrees with this assessment. The RID is therefore rejected. Thank you for reviewing the SLS and submitting your RID. Even though we rejected this RID, your input is valuable and we appreciate it.